Failure Propagation Modeling in FMEAs for Reliability, Safety, and Cybersecurity using SysML



Myron Hecht

The Aerospace Corporation



Failure Propagation Modeling in FMEAs for Reliability, Safety, and Cybersecurity using SysML

> Myron Hecht and David Baum The Aerospace Corporation El Segundo, CA

> > **Presented to**

2019 Conference on System Engineering Research

Washington, DC April, 2019

Outline

- Introduction
- Metamodel
- Example
- Procedure
- Outputs
- Conclusion

Conventional FMEA and its drawbacks

Traditional FMEA Example

Service Component	Failure Mode	Effect on Component	Next Level Effect	End Effect	Detection	Mitigation	Severity	Recommendations
BEM	Incorrect Result	BEM cannot send or receive data from JMS Database;	BEM may not be able to function correctly possibly effecting CAM, APS, CFM, and <u>other</u> services	User cannot get breakup data or retrieve data for breakup related messages	Errors are captured in breakup event processing log; JMS resources to detect; Errors are returned for	Failover for 2nd DB VM	5 - minor effect	Develop Infrastructure application to check logs and report failures to operator
			There coul happening b next level an that's not	d be a lot etween the d end effect captured	On which proparts of the second secon	agation point do p itigation	On which propa oath and at what detection and m occur ?	agation point do itigation

Advantages of the automated FMEA method

- Complete coverage: considers all propagation paths in detail
- More analytical information
 - Length of each propagation path
 - Earliest detection and mitigation
 - Components subject to the most propagating failures
 - Symptoms most likely to cause a specific failure mode
 - Complete listing of each propagation path
- Can integrate cybersecurity analyses
 - Failure propagation and attack propagation paths can be integrated in a single model
 - Attack propagations, detections, and mitigations can be included in an integrated analysis or separated for a discipline unique artifact
- Less labor
 - Only component and propagation-to-nearest-neighbor parameters need to be defined; not the entire FMEA "row"; the algorithm integrates them
 - Facilitates reusable components and propagation paths
 - Automated FMEA generated in seconds
- Can be integrated into the development process
 - The primary value of the FMEA is during the design process; automation enables many iterations and considerations of alternatives most FMEAs are done when the design is complete because of the expense of a manual process

Water Supply System Example



Metamodel and Profile



7

Automated FMEA Generation Procedure

- Define failure propagations and transformations in SysML
- System described using standard SysML constructs
- Once system is modeled, output is automatically produced



1. Defining the System with a Block Definition Diagram



3. Defining the propagation paths with a System Internal Block Diagram



2. Defining the failure propagations and transformations within a component



4. Defining Inter-component propagations and transformations

1.Defining the system components to be included in the analysis using a SysML Block Definition diagram



- System represented by top-level block
- Component types connected to subsystem through the directed composition relationship
- Components are instantiated from component types



2. Defining the failure propagations and transformations within a component

3. Defining the propagation paths with a System Internal Block Diagram Components defined as part properties

typed by component type blocks «system Water Supply Control Flow Control processor Pump Level Pressure firewall Valve adversary VPN' «block» «block» «block» «block» oonent Type» «Component Type Component Type» Component Type omponent Type Computer Actuator Adversary Firewall VPN Components Connections between components made in «proxy» «Sink Failure Propagation Port: system internal block diagram adversary : Adversary source firewall1 : Firewall Pump : Actuator source «Sink Failure Propagation Port» sink «proxy» «Sink Failure Propagation Port» Senser Source Po Pressure : Sensor «proxy» «proxy» Sink Failure Propagation Port» Failure Propagation Por source Control processor : Computer VPN1: VPN «Sink Failure Propagation Port» HSOL Flow : Sensor Valve : Actuator Sink Failure Propagation Port «proxy» rh «Sink Failure Propagation Po source Level : Sensor «proxy» Sink Failure Propagation Port» sink

4. Defining Inter-component propagations and transformations

- External failure propagations ٠ shown with associations
- Individual transformations in IBD of ٠ association
- Single source failure mode can ٠ transform into different sink failure modes



wintertace@iccline

WPN Source Port

VPN Source Port 4

autoritace@toolia

Competer Siek Port

Computer Sink Part 1

VPN-Computer

FMEA Output

	Table	Description and Use	Water Supply System Results
	Full FMEA	List all FMEA information in SysML model Rows represent individual failure propagation paths	There are 1110 propagation paths with unique originating components, failure modes, causes, propagation steps, and end effects (with a conventional manually generated FMEA, there would be only 37 rows)
	Failure	Provides both qualitative and quantitative data	The VPN is the component with the most failure modes,
	Modes and Effects	about each failure mode and effect Useful for prioritizing failure and cybersecurity	actuator failure modes have the highest proportion of severity 1 events,
	Summary	resources by identifying system components with the highest number of failure modes, undetectable	CRCs and redundancy checks are the most often used detection mechanism,
		paths	Retry is the most common recovery mechanism.
			Malicious Data is the failure mode that is most often not detected and has the greatest severity effects
	System	Provides analysis of all system effects in system	The VPN is the component with the largest number of severity 1
	Effects	Useful for determining undetected, unmitigated, or	failure modes
	Summary	unprotected system effects	Actuators (pump and value) and the control processor are also significant contributors to Severity 1 failure modes
	Diagnostics	Matrix of system effects versus their causes	The VPN is the single component most likely to be the cause of
	U	Capable of determining probably causes of system	malfunctions in the actuators
		effects	The control processor can be a cause of all system level effects identified thus far
10	Propagation Description	Rows represent individual failure propagation paths	There are multiple propagation paths for which there is no protection against a cyberattack; measures for failure detection and mitigation should be evaluated to determine if there is any
13		Each cell in a row lists detailed information about a	

FMEA Output Excerpt

Failed Component	Failure Mode	Cause	Intermediate Effects	Intermediate Causes	End Component	End Effect
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data; VPN1: Bad Data	Control processor: ; VPN1:	Valve:Actuator	Actuator Energizes incorrectly
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data; VPN1: Bad Data	Control processor: ; VPN1:	Valve:Actuator	Actuator engages without computer command
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data; VPN1: Bad Data	Control processor: ; VPN1:	Pump:Actuator	Actuator Fails to Perform When Commanded
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data; VPN1: Bad Data	Control processor: ; VPN1:	Pump:Actuator	Actuator Energizes incorrectly
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data; VPN1: Bad Data	Control processor: ; VPN1:	Pump:Actuator	Actuator engages without computer command
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data	Control processor:	Level:Sensor	Sensor receives bad data
Control processor:Computer	Malicious Input	Cyberattack, vulnerability	Control processor: Bad Data	Control processor:	Pressure:Sensor	Sensor receives bad data

Shows all Failure Modes, Causes, Effects, Detections, Mitigations, and recommendations/comments; propagations presented in a compressed form

Failure Modes and Effects Summary (FMES)

Component	Failure	Primary	Intermediate	Unique	Total Failure	Detection	Mitigation	Protection	Comment	Severity	Severity	Severity	Severity	Severity
	Mode	Failure	Effects	Failure Modes	Modes and					1	2	3	4	5
	moue	Mode	Occurrences	and Effects	Effects					-	-	Ŭ	1.1	-
VPN1	Corrupt	8	124	66	132	CRC	Retry	Unknown	Requires CRC	132	0	0	0	0
	Data	0			102	0.110		Protection	neganes one		ľ	Ŭ		l i l
Pump	Corrupt	16	62	26	78	CRC	Retry	Unknown		78	0	0	0	0
1 dinp	Data	10		20	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	che	neary	Protection		10	ľ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ
Valve	Corrupt	16	62	26	78	CRC	Petry	Unknown		78	0	0	0	0
valve	Data	10	02	20	/0	Che	Neury	Brotection		10	U V	v		v
VDN1	Malisious	4	80	21	84	Nece	Nece	Hekeewe		04		0	0	0
VPINI	Data	4	80	21	04	None	None	Destastias		04		v	0	U U
Duran	Data	10	22		40	Nege	Nese	Protection		40	_	0	-	-
Pump	Maticious	10	32	8	48	None	None	Unknown		48	0	U	U	U
	Data							Protection						
Valve	Malicious	16	32	8	48	None	None	Unknown		48	0	0	0	0
	Data						-	Protection						
VPN1	Late Data	6	126	66	132	Timer	Retry	Unknown	Requires timer	0	0	132	0	0
i						expiration		Protection						
Pump	Late Data	4	56	20	60	Timer	Retry	Unknown	Requires timer	0	0	60	0	0
						expiration		Protection						
Valve	Late Data	4	56	20	60	Timer	Retry	Unknown	Requires timer	0	0	60	0	0
						expiration		Protection						
VPN1	Low Signal	250	100	7	350	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		294	0	56	0	0
	Integrity					Detection	Mitigation	Protection						
Level	Fails to	21	0	3	21	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		12	0	9	0	0
	Output					Detection	Mitigation	Protection						
Control	No Data	5	80	17	85	Timer	Retry;	Unknown	Requires timer	34	0	51	0	0
processor						expiration	switchover to	Protection						
							redundant							
							computer							
							· ·							

Shows components and counts of internal failure modes, occurrences, detections, mitigations, and severity distributions – enables assessment of the importance and priority of detection and mitigation measures

System Effects Table

Component	System Effect	Total System	First Known	First Known	First Known Protection:Number	Severity	ſ
		Effect	Detection:Number of	Mitigation:Number of	of Occurrences		
		Occurrences	Occurrences	Occurrences			
Valve	Actuator Fails to Perform When	221	CRC: 52, Reasonableness	Substitution of default value	Unknown Protection: 180,	1	
	Commanded		check: 56, Timer expiration:	or retry: 52, Retry; switchover	Shielding, anti-tamper: 26,		
			65, CRC, reasonableness	to redundant computer: 59,	More rigorous defect		
			check: 26, Remote	Use an alternate means of	avoidance: 12, Message		
			Monitoring: 16, None: 6,	Control: 4, Retry: 58, Retry; use	authentication: 3,		
				alternate actuation: 16, None:			
				32,			
Pump	Actuator Fails to Perform When	221	CRC: 52, Reasonableness	Substitution of default value	Unknown Protection: 180,	1	
	Commanded		check: 56, Timer expiration:	or retry: 52, Retry; switchover	Shielding, anti-tamper: 26,		
			65, CRC, reasonableness	to redundant computer: 59,	More rigorous defect		
			check: 26, Remote	Use an alternate means of	avoidance: 12, Message		
			Monitoring: 16, None: 6,	Control: 4, Retry: 58, Retry; use	authentication: 3,		
				alternate actuation: 16, None:			
				32,			
Valve	Actuator engages without computer	90	Unknown Detection: 3,	Unknown Mitigation: 3,	Unknown Protection: 49,	1	
	command		Reasonableness check: 56,	Substitution of default value	Shielding, anti-tamper: 13,		
			CRC, reasonableness check:	or retry: 52, Use an alternate	More rigorous defect		
			13, None: 18,	means of Control: 4, None: 31,	avoidance: 12, Message		
					authentication: 16,		
Valve	Actuator Energizes incorrectly	90	Reasonableness check: 56,	control operator intervention:	Unknown Protection: 49,	1	
			CRC, reasonableness check:	3, Substitution of default value	Shielding, anti-tamper: 13,		
			13, Remote Monitoring: 3,	or retry: 52, Use an alternate	More rigorous defect		
			None: 18,	means of Control: 4, None: 31,	avoidance: 12, Message		
					authentication: 16.	1	L

Diagnostics Table

Control	Flow	Level	Pressure	Pump	VPN1	Valve	adversary	firewall1
processor								
27%	13%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	13%	20%
43%	14%	14%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%
8%	4%	4%	4%	9%	39%	9%	18%	4%
8%	4%	4%	4%	9%	39%	9%	18%	4%
40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%
6%	5%	5%	5%	7%	47%	7%	14%	5%
100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
13%	6%	6%	6%	3%	47%	3%	15%	1%
18%	18%	18%	18%	0%	0%	0%	12%	18%
11%	6%	6%	6%	6%	37%	6%	15%	6%
	Control processor 27% 43% 8% 8% 8% 6% 100% 13% 13% 18%	Control Flow processor 13% 27% 13% 43% 14% 8% 4% 8% 4% 43% 5% 40% 5% 110% 6% 118% 18%	Control Flow Level processor - - 27% 13% 13% 43% 14% 14% 43% 44% 44% 8% 44% 44% 6% 5% 5% 100% 0% 0% 113% 6% 6% 111% 6% 6%	ControlFlowLevelPressureprocessor </td <td>Control Flow Level Pressure Pump processor International Control International Control International Control 27% 13% 13% 13% International Control 43% 14% 14% 14% International Control 43% 14% 14% 14% International Control 8% 4% 4% 4% 9% 40% 00% 00% 0% 0% 40% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 0% 0% 110% 00% 00% 0% 0% 111% 6% 6% 6% 6%</td> <td>Control Flow Level Pressure Pump VPN1 processor 13% 13% 13% 0% 0% 27% 13% 13% 13% 0% 0% 43% 14% 14% 14% 0% 0% 43% 44% 44% 49% 39% 8% 44% 44% 9% 39% 40% 0% 0% 0% 39% 40% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 110% 0% 0% 0% 0% 11% 6% 6% 6% 0%</td> <td>Control processor Flow Level Pressure Pump VPN1 Valve 27% 13% 13% 13% 00% 00% 00% 43% 14% 14% 14% 00% 00% 00% 43% 14% 14% 00% 00% 00% 00% 43% 44% 44% 49% 39% 9% 40% 00% 00% 00% 00% 0% 40% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 13% 66% 66% 33% 44% 0% 13% 18% 18% 18% 0% 0% 0% 11% 66% 66% 66% 37% 66%</td> <td>Control processorFlow (************************************</td>	Control Flow Level Pressure Pump processor International Control International Control International Control 27% 13% 13% 13% International Control 43% 14% 14% 14% International Control 43% 14% 14% 14% International Control 8% 4% 4% 4% 9% 40% 00% 00% 0% 0% 40% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 0% 0% 110% 00% 00% 0% 0% 111% 6% 6% 6% 6%	Control Flow Level Pressure Pump VPN1 processor 13% 13% 13% 0% 0% 27% 13% 13% 13% 0% 0% 43% 14% 14% 14% 0% 0% 43% 44% 44% 49% 39% 8% 44% 44% 9% 39% 40% 0% 0% 0% 39% 40% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 110% 0% 0% 0% 0% 11% 6% 6% 6% 0%	Control processor Flow Level Pressure Pump VPN1 Valve 27% 13% 13% 13% 00% 00% 00% 43% 14% 14% 14% 00% 00% 00% 43% 14% 14% 00% 00% 00% 00% 43% 44% 44% 49% 39% 9% 40% 00% 00% 00% 00% 0% 40% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 100% 00% 00% 00% 0% 0% 13% 66% 66% 33% 44% 0% 13% 18% 18% 18% 0% 0% 0% 11% 66% 66% 66% 37% 66%	Control processorFlow (************************************

Propagation Description Table (excerpt)

Original Failure Mode	Propagation Step 1	Propagation Step 2	Propagation Step 3	Propagation Step 4
VPN1:VPN	Valve:Actuator	Pump:Actuator	VPN1:VPN	Pump:Actuator
Failure Mode: Interfered Transmissions	Failure Mode: Corrupt Data	Failure Mode: Corrupt Data	Failure Mode: Corrupt Data	Failure Mode: Actuator Fails to Perform When
Cause: Cyberattack	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Commanded
	Detection: CRC	Detection: CRC	Detection: CRC	Cause: Unspecified Cause
	Mitigation: Retry	Mitigation: Retry	Mitigation: Retry	Detection: Remote Monitoring
	Comment:	Comment:	Comment: Requires CRC	Mitigation: Retry; use alternate actuation
	Protection: Unknown Protection	Protection: Unknown Protection	Protection: Unknown Protection	Comment: Recoverable from control station
				Protection: Unknown Protection
				Severity: 1
				Severity Comment: Recoverable from control
				station
VPN1:VPN	Valve:Actuator	VPN1:VPN	Valve:Actuator	
Failure Mode: Interfered Transmissions	Failure Mode: Malicious Data	Failure Mode: Malicious Data	Failure Mode: Actuator engages without computer	
Cause: Cyberattack	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Cause: Unspecified Cause	command	
	Detection: None	Detection: None	Cause: Unspecified Cause	
	Mitigation: None	Mitigation: None	Detection: Unknown Detection	
	Comment:	Comment:	Mitigation: Unknown Mitigation	
	Protection: Message authentication	Protection: Unknown Protection	Comment: Could result in loss of control,	
			instability, and loss of water system	
			Protection: Unknown Protection	
			Severity: 1	
			Severity Comment: Could result in loss of control,	
			instability, and loss of water system	
VPN1:VPN	Valve:Actuator	VPN1:VPN	Valve:Actuator	
Failure Mode: Interfered Transmissions	Failure Mode: Malicious Data	Failure Mode: Malicious Data	Failure Mode: Actuator Energizes incorrectly	
Cause: Cyberattack	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Cause: Unspecified Cause	Cause: Unspecified Cause	
	Detection: None	Detection: None	Detection: Remote Monitoring	
	Mitigation: None	Mitigation: None	Mitigation: control operator intervention	
	Comment:	Comment:	Comment: Could reusit in loss of control,	
	Protection: Message authentication	Protection: Unknown Protection	instability and loss of water system	
			Protection: Unknown Protection	
			Severity: 1	
			Severity Comment: Could reusit in loss of control,	
			instability and loss of water system	

Shows the details of the propagation of each failure mode (expands the condensed propagation information in the Full FMEA)

Summary and Conclusions

- Automated the manual FMEA process
 - Automated process much less arduous
 - Allows FMEAs to be generated iteratively throughout design and production phases
 - Libraries of components can be created to enable failure propagations, detections and mitigations attributes to be reused
- Automated FMEA output is more detailed and correct
 - Contains all steps in failure propagation paths
 - Important analysis performed automatically (e.g. Failure Modes and Effects Summary)
 - Validations and model editor exist to ensure proper modeling
- Process is model-based
 - FMEA produced from SysML architectural model
 - FMEA can be produced on demand (i.e., early and often) enabling early identification of deficiencies
- New applications of FMEA to cyber security
 - Malicious actors represented as components in system
 - Malicious actors can cause failure modes in other components